UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,602	12/30/1999	BRYAN J. MOLES	SAMS01-00097	6560
23990 DOCKET CLE	7590 03/25/200 <b>RK</b>	EXAMINER		
P.O. DRAWER	800889	DADA, BEEMNET W		
DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/475,602	MOLES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BEEMNET W. DADA	2135	

	BEEIMITET W. BABA	2100
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 29 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	PR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially red	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/KIMYEN VU/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2135		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that Applegate (US 6,321,336) fails to teach a plurality of FTP proxies and selecting one proxy from a plurality of proxies. Applicant further argues that, Applegate fails to teach a wireless network. Examiner disagrees. Examiner would point out that, as discussed in the last office action, Hsu et al. (US 6,587,684 B1) teaches a first controller (Proxy server interpreted by the examiner as the first controller) capable of receiving from said unprovisioned mobile station (column 15, lines 1-10) an IP data packet comprising an IP packet header and IP packet payload (column 6, lines 22-29 and column 15, lines 1-9 and figure 4B). Further, Applegate et al. (US 6,321,336 B1) teaches a secure communication system, including replacing an IP packet header with a replacement IP packet header comprising an IP address of a selected on of servers (i.e., equivalent to provisioning server) associated with a wireless network [column 5, lines 34-65]. Examiner would point out that the art on record teaches the claim limitations and therefore the rejection is respectfully maintained.